

<b>Policy Name</b>	Clinical Policy - Fundus Photography
<b>Policy Number</b>	1331.00
<b>Department</b>	Clinical Product & Development
<b>Subcategory</b>	Medical Management
<b>Original Approval Date</b>	07/10/2017
<b>Current MPC/CCO Approval Date</b>	07/10/2024
<b>Current Effective Date</b>	09/01/2024

**Company Entities Supported (Select All that Apply)**

- Superior Vision Benefit Management
  - Superior Vision Services
  - Superior Vision of New Jersey, Inc.
  - Block Vision of Texas, Inc. d/b/a Superior Vision of Texas
  - Davis Vision
- (Collectively referred to as 'Versant Health' or 'the Company')

**ACRONYMS or DEFINITIONS**

n/a

**PURPOSE**

To provide the medical necessity criteria to support the indication(s) for fundus photography. Applicable procedure codes are also defined.

**POLICY**
**A. BACKGROUND**

Fundus photography may be medically necessary when the information garnered from the eye exam is insufficient to assess the patient's disease. If the images are taken as baseline documentation of a healthy eye or as preventive medicine to screen for potential disease, then they may not be medically necessary, even if disease is identified.

Fundus photographs are not covered for screening, or to simply document the existence of a condition, or as a redundant test that does not provide any additional or needed information.

## **B. Medically Necessary**

Fundus photography may be medically necessary for the following:

1. To plan or guide treatment such as laser photocoagulation,
2. To evaluate injuries, abnormalities, or disease in the fundus or choroid,
3. To monitor disease progression, including initial fundus photographs for a chronic condition that requires monitoring (e.g., glaucoma); such as,
  - a. Repeat fundus photography is necessitated by clinically significant disease progression, the advent of new disease, or planning for additional surgical treatment (e.g., laser).
  - b. Repeated photos of the same, unchanged condition are unwarranted. Fundus photography must provide actionable data that would influence the course of treatment and that would not be available from other testing or imaging modalities.
4. When a comprehensive eye exam requires a dilated fundus exam (DFE) and the DFE is medically contraindicated; the fundus photo can be used in lieu of a DFE;
5. Fundus photography must be ordered and used promptly by the physician who is treating the patient.

## **C. Not Medically Necessary**

Fundus photography may not be medically necessary for the following:

1. To document findings noted on the examination;
2. When the image merely confirms the exam findings of other imaging modalities;
3. For screening purposes;
4. When used as a baseline photo to document a condition that is static and does not require future treatment (e.g., scar);
5. To document the existence of a condition;
6. In the absence of a disease or abnormality within the fundus.
7. When a comprehensive eye exam requires a dilated fundus exam (DFE), a fundus photo cannot be used in lieu of a DFE, unless the DFE is medically contraindicated.

## **D. Documentation**

Medical necessity is supported by adequate and complete documentation in the medical record that describes the procedure and the medical rationale for it, plus documentation of as follows. If a subsequent medical review audit is necessary, these items must be available to initiate or sustain payments. For retrospective reviews, the complete operative report and medical care plan are required.

Every page of the record must be legible and include appropriate patient identification information (e.g., complete name, date(s) of service). The medical record must contain copies of the photographs or digital images and be available upon request. Services provided/ordered must be authenticated by the author with a handwritten or electronic signature. Stamped signatures are not acceptable.

The following documents support the medical necessity of fundus photography.

1. interpretation and report of the image, including:
  - a. Physician's order for fundus photograph with medical rationale
  - b. The medical record must contain copies of the photographs or digital images and be available upon request.
  - c. Date performed
  - d. Reliability and findings of the fundus photography. Do not bill a fundus photograph of dubious value or of normal findings.
  - e. Patient cooperation
  - f. Comparison of fundus photographs (when applicable) – statement of variation between current and prior fundus photographs
  - g. Assessment, diagnosis; when the indication for fundus photography is high risk medication monitoring
  - h. Impact on treatment and prognosis
  - i. Ideally, the interpretation of the fundus photography follows immediately after the technical component is finished. In practice, there may be a delay; only bill fundus photography after the interpretation and report is completed.
2. When a scanning laser ophthalmoscope is used to capture images of the fundus, proper coding depends on several factors.
  - a. If the scanner produces an image of the retina or optic nerve along with other data and imaging for quantitative analysis, report a single service from the appropriate scanning computerized ophthalmic diagnostic imaging code range (92133-92134).
  - b. If only an image is obtained, report code 92250
  - c. If the only necessary service provided is generating a fundus photograph without the need to quantify the nerve fiber layer and to analyze the data via a computer, then report code 92250, even if the photograph was taken with a scanning laser.
3. When fundus photography and extended ophthalmoscopy (CPT 92201 and 92202) are performed concurrently, the retinal drawing must identify severe posterior segment disease that is not identifiable in the image, otherwise there is unnecessary duplication and only one of these procedures may be considered medically necessary.
4. Auto fluorescent imaging is an incidental part of fundus photography and not a separate service.

## E. Procedural Detail

CPT Codes	
92227	Imaging of retina for detection or monitoring of disease; with remote clinical staff review and report, unilateral or bilateral
92228	Imaging of retina for detection or monitoring of disease; with remote physician or other qualified health care professional interpretation and report, unilateral or bilateral
92229	Imaging of retina for detection or monitoring of disease; point-of-care automated analysis and report, unilateral or bilateral
92250	Fundus photography with interpretation and report
92499	Unlisted ophthalmological procedures. To be used in uncommon circumstances for remote monitoring that does not fall within the description of 92227.

### DISCLAIMER and COPYRIGHTS

This clinical policy is provided for information purposes only and does not constitute medical advice. Versant Health, Inc., and its affiliates (the “Company”) do not provide health care services and cannot guarantee any results or outcomes. Treating doctors are solely responsible for determining what services or treatments to provide to their patients. Patients (members) should always consult their doctor before making any decisions about medical care.

Subject to applicable law, compliance with this clinical policy is not a guarantee of coverage or payment. Coverage is based on the terms of an individual’s particular benefit plan document, which may not cover the service(s) or procedure(s) addressed in this clinical policy. The terms of the individual’s specific benefit plan are always determinative.

Every effort has been made to ensure that the information in this clinical policy is accurate and complete, however the Company does not guarantee that there are no errors in this policy or that the display of this file on a website is without error. The company and its employees are not liable for any errors, omissions, or other inaccuracies in the information, product, or processes disclosed herein. Neither the Company nor the employees represent that use of such information, product, or processes will infringe on privately owned rights. In no event shall the Company be liable for direct, indirect, special, incidental, or consequential damages arising out of the use of such information, product, or process.

**COMPANY’S COPYRIGHT STATEMENT** Except for any copyrights described below, this clinical policy is confidential and proprietary, and no part of this clinical policy may be copied, distributed, or used without Versant Health, or its applicable affiliates, expressing prior written approval.

**AMA COPYRIGHT STATEMENT** CPT© 2002-2024 is the copyright of the American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved. CPT™ is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association. Applicable FARS/DFARS Apply to Government Use. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein.

<b>Related Policies</b>	
1338	External Ocular Photography

<b>Revision History</b>		
<b>Approval Date</b>	<b>Revisions</b>	<b>Effective Date</b>
07/10/2017	Initial policy	07/10/2017
07/13/2018	Annual review with major criteria revisions	07/13/2018
10/18/2019	Annual review; no change in criteria.	11/01/2019
08/19/2020	Annual review; no change in criteria.	12/01/2020
07/07/2021	Add CPT code 92229; removed ICD-10 code limitations and open policy to all valid, medical ICD-10 codes.	10/01/2021
07/06/2022	Annual review; no criteria changes.	10/01/2022
07/12/2023	Annual review; no criteria changes.	09/01/2023
07/10/2024	Annual review; no criteria changes.	09/01/2024

<b>REFERENCES AND SOURCES</b>
-------------------------------

1. Ah-Kee EY, Khan AA, Lim LT. Ocular fundus photography: An alternative to direct ophthalmoscopy for teaching? *Med Teach*. 2016 May;38(5):529-30. doi: 10.3109/0142159X.2015.1076159. Epub 2015 Sep 15. PMID: 26372019.
2. Ahn JM, Kim S, Ahn KS, et.al. A deep learning model for the detection of both advanced and early glaucoma using fundus photography [published correction appears in *PLoS One*. 2019 Jan 25;14(1): e0211579]. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(11): e0207982. Published 2018 Nov 27. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0207982.
3. .Avilés-Rodríguez GJ, Nieto-Hipólito JI, Cosío-León MLÁ, et al. Topological Data Analysis for Eye Fundus Image Quality Assessment. *Diagnostics (Basel)*. 2021 Jul 23;11(8):1322. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics11081322. PMID: 34441257; PMCID: PMC8394537.

4. Bakri SJ, Sculley L, Singh AD. Imaging techniques for uveal melanoma. *Int Ophthalmol Clin*. 2006;46(1):1-13. doi: 10.1097/01.iio.0000195859.86805.64.
5. Bao YK, Yan Y, Gordon M, et.al. Visual Field Loss in Patients with Diabetes in the Absence of Clinically Detectable Vascular Retinopathy in a Nationally Representative Survey. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2019;60(14):4711-4716. doi:10.1167/iovs.19-28063.
6. Bruce BB, Biousse V, Newman NJ. Nonmydriatic ocular fundus photography in neurologic emergencies. *JAMA Neurol*. 2015;72(4):455–459. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2014.4053.
7. Bruce BB. Nonmydriatic Ocular Fundus Photography in the Emergency Department: How It Can Benefit Neurologists. *Semin Neurol*. 2015 Oct;35(5):491-5. doi: 10.1055/s-0035-1563576. Epub 2015 Oct 6. PMID: 26444394; PMCID: PMC4909473.
8. Bypareddy R, Rathod BLS, Shilpa YD, et al. Fundus evaluation in COVID-19 positives with non-severe disease. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2021 May;69(5):1271-1274. doi: 10.4103/ijo.IJO\_3227\_20. PMID: 33913875; PMCID: PMC8186568.
9. Callaway NF, Mruthyunjaya P. Wide field imaging of retinal and choroidal tumors. *Int J Retina Vitreous*. 2019;5(Suppl 1):49. Published 2019 Dec 12. doi:10.1186/s40942-019-0196-5.
10. Caltrider D, Gupta A, Tripathy K. Evaluation of Visual Acuity. 2023 Feb 6. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023 Jan–. PMID: 33231977.
11. Ding L, Kuriyan AE, Ramchandran RS, et al. Weakly-Supervised Vessel Detection in Ultra-Widefield Fundus Photography via Iterative Multi-Modal Registration and Learning. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2021 Oct;40(10):2748-2758. doi: 10.1109/TMI.2020.3027665. Epub 2021 Sep 30. PMID: 32991281; PMCID: PMC8513803.
12. Farinha C, Cachulo ML, Coimbra R, et al. Age-Related Macular Degeneration Staging by Color Fundus Photography vs. Multimodal Imaging-Epidemiological Implications (*The Coimbra Eye Study-Report 6*). *J Clin Med*. 2020;9(5): E1329. Published 2020 May 2. doi:10.3390/jcm9051329.
13. Grauslund J. Diabetic retinopathy screening in the emerging era of artificial intelligence. *Diabetologia*. 2022 Sep;65(9):1415-1423. doi: 10.1007/s00125-022-05727-0. Epub 2022 May 31. PMID: 35639120.
14. Khachatryan T, Mozaffar T, Mnatsakanyan L. Utility of video-fundoscopy and prospects of portable stereophotography of the ocular fundus in neurological patients. *BMC Neurol*. 2022 Feb 19;22(1):61. doi: 10.1186/s12883-022-02578-5. PMID: 35183131; PMCID: PMC8857737.
15. Kim DY, Loo J, Farsiu S, et al. Comparison of Single Drusen Size on Color Fundus Photography and Spectral-Domain Optical Coherence Tomography. *Retina*. 2021 Aug 1;41(8):1715-1722. doi: 10.1097/IAE.0000000000003099. PMID: 33411474.
16. Lim G, Bellemo V, Xie Y, et.al. Different fundus imaging modalities and technical factors in AI screening for diabetic retinopathy: a review. *Eye Vis (Lond)*. 2020; 7:21. Published 2020 Apr 14. doi:10.1186/s40662-020-00182-7.
17. Maruyama-Inoue M, Kitajima Y, Mohamed S, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of high-resolution wide field fundus imaging for detecting neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *PLoS One*. 2020 Aug 21;15(8): e0238072. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0238072. PMID: 32822418; PMCID: PMC7442256.
18. Mehta N, Tsui E, Lee GD, et.al. Imaging Biomarkers in Diabetic Retinopathy and Diabetic Macular Edema. *Int Ophthalmol Clin*. 2019;59(1):241–262. doi:10.1097/IIO.0000000000000249.

19. Munk MR, Kurmann T, Márquez-Neila P, et al. Assessment of patient specific information in the wild on fundus photography and optical coherence tomography. *Sci Rep.* 2021 Apr 21;11(1):8621. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-86577-5. PMID: 33883573; PMCID: PMC8060417.
20. Muqit MMK, Kourgialis N, Jackson-deGraffenried M, et al. Trends in Diabetic Retinopathy, Visual Acuity, and Treatment Outcomes for Patients Living with Diabetes in a Fundus Photograph-Based Diabetic Retinopathy Screening Program in Bangladesh. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2019;2(11): e1916285. Published 2019 Nov 1. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.16285.
21. Myers JS, Fudemberg SJ, Lee D. Evolution of optic nerve photography for glaucoma screening: a review. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2018;46(2):169–176. doi:10.1111/ceo.13138
22. Pead E, Megaw R, Cameron J, et al. Automated detection of age-related macular degeneration in color fundus photography: a systematic review. *Surv Ophthalmol.* 2019;64(4):498-511. doi: 10.1016/j.survophthal.2019.02.003.
23. Pugalendhi A, Ranganathan R. Development of 3D Printed Smartphone-Based Multi-Purpose Fundus Camera (MultiScope) for Retinopathy of Prematurity. *Ann Biomed Eng.* 2021 Dec;49(12):3323-3338. doi: 10.1007/s10439-021-02885-x. Epub 2021 Nov 12. PMID: 34773157.
24. Pujari A, Saluja G, Agarwal D, et al. Clinical Role of Smartphone Fundus Imaging in Diabetic Retinopathy and Other Neuro-retinal Diseases. *Curr Eye Res.* 2021 Nov;46(11):1605-1613. doi: 10.1080/02713683.2021.1958347. Epub 2021 Jul 29. PMID: 34325587.
25. Rêgo S, Dutra-Medeiros M, Bacelar-Silva GM, et al. Reliability of Classification by Ophthalmologists with Telescreening Fundus Images for Diabetic Retinopathy and Image Quality. *J Diabetes Sci Technol.* 2021 May;15(3):710-712. doi: 10.1177/19322968211000418. Epub 2021 Mar 19. PMID: 33736493; PMCID: PMC8120038.
26. Sto S, Hiraoka T, Okamoto Y, et.al. [Photography of anterior eye segment and fundus with smartphone]. *Nippon Ganka Gakkai Zasshi.* 2014 Jan;118(1):7-14. Japanese. PMID: 24505930.
27. Titoneli CC, Filho MS, Lencione D, et al. Clinical validation of a smartphone-based handheld fundus camera for the evaluation of optic nerve head. *Arq Bras Oftalmol.* 2021 Nov-Dec;84(6):531-537. doi: 10.5935/0004-2749.20210080. PMID: 34320110.
28. Toslak D, Liu C, Alam MN, et.al. Near-infrared light-guided miniaturized indirect ophthalmoscopy for nonmydriatic wide-field fundus photography. *Opt Lett.* 2018 Jun 1;43(11):2551-2554. doi: 10.1364/OL.43.002551. PMID: 29856427; PMCID: PMC6271562.
29. Tslak D, Thapa D, Chen Y, et.al. Trans-palpebral illumination: an approach for wide-angle fundus photography without the need for pupil dilation. *Opt Lett.* 2016 Jun 15;41(12):2688-91. doi: 10.1364/OL.41.002688. PMID: 27304264; PMCID: PMC4951186.
30. Uurlu A, Aslanova M, Cebeci Z, et.al. Evaluation of Maculopathy in Patients Using Hydroxychloroquine. *Turk J Ophthalmol.* 2019;49(3):149-153. doi: 10.4274/tjo.galenos.2018.67864.
31. Vasseneix C, Bruce BB, Bidot S, et.al. Nonmydriatic Fundus Photography in Patients with Acute Vision Loss. *Telemed J E Health.* 2019;25(10):911–916. doi:10.1089/tmj.2018.0209
32. Vnkatesh R, Pereira A, Gupta A. Conventional color fundus photography over multi-color imaging in identifying peripapillary intrachoroidal cavitation in myopic eyes. *BMJ Case Rep.*



